G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

Misc 92-96 1997-98 (Police)

Later references.

37

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIA

Serial Nos. 1 - 8

~~Part~~ B for October 1900.

SUBJECT.

The appointment of a prosecutor for the Sagar Sub-division, tentatively for 1 year.

6926-7 dt 6.10.09.
J 505

To the Dept of Police
The comptroller

Sanction tentatively for one year, the appointment of a Prosecuting Inspector for the Sagar Sub division

Indexed
Cross-indexed

GPB—10,000—23-3-15.

(2) J 763

Sub 2nd the See
9/12

1897 4982
7-12-97

From

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.

8027

SECTION — Rd
FILE No. 59 of 97
SERIAL No. 1

Dated 4th December 1897.
Despatched 6th December 1897.
Received in J Branch 7-12-97
General No. 2010
No. 229
Enclosure No. Two.

Forwarding Shimoga Deputy Commissioner's letter (Copy) No. 281 dated 26th ultimo bringing to notice the necessity for the employment of a Sub Inspector or Jemadar to prosecute cases in the Sagar Sub Div. Officer's Court and stating that it is desirable to have a well qualified Inspector of at least the 3rd grade on Rs 50 for this work.

Put up
7/12/97.

The ref. noted within was Sub to Demand on 10-11-97.

9-12-97

10-12-97

Rd 11/11/97

10/11/97

Rd 11/11/97

57/1903

For 8 schools we have lately sanctioned an increase of Insprs in the H. (the Govt stated in his No. 4101 of 8 June 1897) will be useful for prosecuting cases — with this add. & the staff of Insprs in the Dt will be found sufficient to make better arrangements for the prosecution of the cases in the Sagar Sub Div. Govt are not prepared to sanction the add. appointment proposed in this letter

57/1903

Rd 11/11/97

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 2010
229
Enc. Two.

Dated Bangalore 4. December 1897.

From

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

In Continuation of Police 92-96-8
this office letter No. 1655/172 dated 4th November 1897, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No. 281 dated 26th ultimo from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga, bringing to notice the necessity for the employment of a Sub Inspector or Jemadar to prosecute cases in the Sagar Sub Division —,

Officers Court, and to state that it is desirable to have a well qualified Inspector of at least the 3rd grade on Rs 50/- for this work. It is a mistake to appoint a low paid Jemadar.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M Madhava Rao
Inspector General
of Police
Or

Gen. 31]

1897

3

Enc 1 to 8½

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga. Dst

To

The Inspector General
of Police in Mysore.

Dated 26th
Despatched 28th } November 1897.
Received

General No. 281.
No.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith a memo of the Assistant Commissioner in charge of Police of this District, on the necessity for a special prosecuting Sub-Inspector or a Jemadar for the Sagar Sub-Division, I have the honor to state that it is expedient to have a new Sub-Inspector or Jemadar who could be employed at Sagar not only to

to prosecute the cases sent up from the three Taluks of the Sub-Division, but also to attend to work under orders of the Taluk Inspector when he has no work in the Courts.

I beg therefore to recommend that you may kindly move the Government at an early date to sanction a Sub-Inspector or a Jemadar for the purpose.

I have &c,

[Signed] H V Nanjundayya

Deputy-Commissioner

Shimoga Dist.

"Copy"

[illegible]

Inspector General

&c. of Police.

Memo.

On the necessity for a special prosecuting Sub Inspector or a Jemadar to the Sagar Sub-Division.

The large and important Sub Division of Sagar Consisting of three large taluks of Nagar, Sagar and Sorab and an area of 1608 square miles with a population of 171,887, and a yearly file of cases of 224, has a Police force of only 156 Constables for the whole Sub-Division with 1 Inspector, and 2 Jemadars for each of the three taluks

2. All the cases of the Sub-Division other than those triable by the Amildar Magistrates of their respective taluks are tried by the Sub-Division Officer at Sagar

Head

Head Quarters of the Sub-Division, a town 45 miles by way from the District Head quarters, and 18 and 21 miles by way from the head quarters of the Sorab and Nagar Taluks respectively.

3. Now, the time of each of the Inspectors is occupied with the work of his own taluk in which, with the inspection of each sub-station monthly and the investigation of cases is fully as much as each can do. It is not therefore possible without seriously prejudicing the ordinary Police work of these taluks for any of these Inspectors to attend to the work of prosecuting the various cases coming on for trial before the Sub-Division Magistrate at

7

at Sagar.

4 Neither is it possible for any of the existing Jemadars to be deputed for this work. The time of three of them is fully occupied, three of them with looking after the ordinary Police work of their respective ranges of Nagar, Aralgode and Anvatti all over 40 miles from Sagar Kasba while the remaining three are more than fully occupied in helping their respective Inspectors with the ordinary Police work of the rest of their talukas, and in investigating such cases as may be deputed to them.

5. There is therefore at present no officer available to attend to the prosecution work of the sub division and the result is that in the case of cases fro

from Sorab or Nagar Taluk either an Inspector to the prejudice of his other work has to travel the 18 or 21 miles from his Head Quarters to Sagar to prosecute his taluk cases as the Sagar Inspector has to leave his own work to attend to the prosecution of the cases from these other Taluks.

Again in the majority of these cases, being more or less important and beyond the jurisdiction of the Taluk Magistrates, the probability is that either the Inspector or Jemadar of the Taluk has investigated them, he cannot therefore prosecute the case. It is also manifest that if the Inspector is such investigating officer and as such has to leave his taluk,

taluk to attend the hearing of the case, the Jamadar of that Taluk cannot also be spared from that Taluk to prosecute, and, vice versa, if the Jemadar is the investi-gating officer, the Inspector cannot also leave the Taluk to prosecute. It follows therefore that under the existing state of affairs a large amount of work in prosecuting cases from either Nagar or Sorab falls on the Sagar Inspector and Jemadar, taking up much of their time to the great detriment of the Police work of their own Taluk.

Further, even so, it is not always possible to arrange that either the Sagar Jemadar or Inspector can be at Head Quarters on the hearing

hearing date so as to attend
to such prosecution work and
the result is cases come on
for hearing and no prose-
cuting officer is available
else a Duffedar with
light legal knowledge and
less interest perfunctorily
ttends to the work with the
result that good cases are
ost and severe remarks are
assed by the Magistrate
s to the absence of a proper
prosecuting officer. To remedy
his unsatisfactory state of
ffairs it is now proposed
that a Sub-Inspector or at-
least a Jemadar with a
good knowledge of Police
work and law may be
ppointed to conduct the
prosecution before the Sub-
-Division Magistrate of
all

11

all cases sent up by the Police of the three taluks of the sub-Division. He would also be able to act as a Town Jemadar to the large and important Town of Sagar, the home of many K. D's and suspicious characters, and where crime is far too prevalent; and he would also be useful in attending to the current Police work of the Taluk on such emergent occasions as may necessitate the absence of the Sagar Inspector from his Taluk such as when attending Sessions at District Head Quarters, or when engaged outside his taluk in the investigation of some important cases belonging

to his taluk.

Under these circumstances therefore it is hoped that the Inspector General of Police will consider the proposition favorably and recommend Government to grant the extra Police officer now asked for.

Signed/ R. B. Plumer.
Asst Commissioner
in charge of Police.
Shimoga.

Forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner for approval and favor, if approved, of forwarding the same with his support to the Inspector General of Police.

Signed/ R. B. Plumer.
Asst Commissioner
in charge of Police
Shimoga.

"Copy"

Inspector General of Police

SECTION: KC 1

FILE NO. 59 of 97

SERIAL NO. 2

13

Read:-

Letter No: 2010/229 dated 4th December 1897 from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore forwarding copy of Shimoga Deputy Commissioner's letter No. 281 dated 26-11-97 and recommending the appointment of a well-qualified 2nd grade Inspector of Police 3rd grade to prosecute cases in the Sagar Sub Division Officers Court at Sagar

No: 8081/9922 of 15-1-98.

Order thereon - In connection with the scheme for District Police schools, sanctioned in G.O. No: 7395-6 dated 28th December 1897, provision has been made for an increase in the number of the Inspectors

making a copy of the Commissioner's recommendation.

District Schools will be at the head quarters of districts. A School Inspector at Shimoga can be of no use in the prosecution of cases at Sagar - District Superintendents, may not even if they are willing the 2nd class allowance to the subordinates selected & recommended for reward.

Bangalore 10-1-98.

8264

Two months allowance

10-1-98

Head Clerk

General stated in his letter

No. 4101 dated 8th June 1897 - ~~in the Series~~ ~~three~~ ~~Inspectors~~ will be ~~wanted~~ for prose-cuting cases. With this addition to the staff of Inspectors in the District, it will be possible to make better arrangements for the prosecution of cases in the Sagar Sub Division. The Government are therefore not prepared to sanction the additional appointment proposed in the letter read above.

Secretary

7/11/98.

The Inspector General of Police

14

× Serial no: 8

3

1061

6858
11/2/98 1898

15

From The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore, General and Revenue Depts.

Dated 9th
Despatched 9th } February 1898.
Received 11th

General No. 2822
No. 340
Enclosure No.

SECTION Pol
FILE No. 1 or 9 90/97
SERIAL No. 185/2/98

Requesting that, for reasons stated, the third grade Inspector asked for in letter No. 2010/229 dated 4th December 1897 may be Sanctioned.

11/2/98
Refer put up.
12-2-98.

Subd to Secr to Govt
15/2/98

Sealed & Opd Decemr
9
28/2/98

8569/
I Is there any proposal pending for strengthening the police force of the Inspr of Mulbagal Talpan Tk -

II How is the proposal made in the other sub Divn

III sometime ago I made a minute about having prosecutors for the cases in all the Talks South Mulbagal Kolar the result has not been let me in the papers

IV How many police cases in an average sub Divn have in the Talpan sub Divn who prosecuted & what fees & Inspr - ?

ASI
24/2/98

Put up references called for
1-3-98

15

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 2822/340. Dated Bangalore, February....1898.

Enc.

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

With reference to Govern-
-ment Proceedings No. 8081/J 922 dated 15th January
1898, returning for reconsideration the pro-
-posal for the appointment of a Prosecuting
Inspector for the Sagar Sub Division, I have
the honor to state that the School In-
-spector for the Shimoga District recently
sanctioned in Government Proceedings
No. X 7395-X/J. 849 dated 28th December 1897, has × S.No. 14
(R.L. 92-96-10)

his Head Quarters at Shimoga where he will be in charge of the School and will attend to the prosecution of Cases referred to in this office letter No. 4101/470 dated 8th June 1897. He will not be available for prosecuting Cases in the Sagar Sub-Division Magistrate's Court. which is 44 miles distant.

S No. 8 (Pol. 9296-6)

2. Under these circumstances, I beg that Government may be pleased to sanction the 3d grade Inspector asked for in my letter No. 2010/229 dated 4th December 1897.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant,

Inspector General of Police.

SECTION 181

FILE No. S90897

SER'AL No. 4

189<

From

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

To

The Inspector Genl of Police in Mysore.

Dated 5th March 1898.

Despatched

Received

General No. 10802

No. 1197
Enclosure No.

His letter No. 2822 of 340
9th Febmary 1898 ~~requesting for sanction, under the circumstances explained in his proposal for~~ the appointment of a Prosecuting Inspector for the Sagar Sub-Divisio

---

With reference to the above, has the honor to request ~~that the Inspr. General will be so good as to furnish this office with~~ information

information on the following ~~two~~ points:—

1) How is the prosecution work done in the other Sub-Divisions ~~done~~?

2) How many police cases on an average ~~would~~ are there likely to be in the ~~Sub~~ Sagar Sub Dn to be prosecuted by a Pl. Jamedar or Inspector.?

B. O.,

19/9/21

for Secy

OFFICE OF T...
9386.
189 ... 1378
...N OF MYSO

SECTION Ld

FILE No. Sq 697

SER'AL No. 5

From

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore. 20

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore, General and Revenue Depts.

Dated

Despatched 22/23 nd April 1898

Received 25

General No. 3765

No.

Enclosure No. 432

Reporting that the reference regarding the No of cases to be prosecuted in the Sagar Sub division is delayed pending receipt of information from the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga.

J 2374/98

Reps put up 26/4/98

Report forwarded 27/4/98

90

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 3765/432 Dated 22/23 April 1898.

Enc.

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

With reference to your docket No. 10802/1197 dated 5th March 1898 and reminder No. 13294/1399 dated 16th instant, Calling for information regarding the number of cases in the Sagar Sub-Division to be prosecuted by a Special Jemadar or Inspector, I have the honor to state that the reply to the above reference is delayed

pending receipt of information from the Shimoga Deputy Commissioner to whom a reminder has been sent.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

A Ranganna
Inspector General
of Police.

J 1534

1

OFFICE
10915
13-6-98. 1898
FROM N OF MYSORE

(10) 24

SECTION P & C
FILE No. 59 of 97
SER'AL No 6

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.

Dated 11th June
Despatched 1898
Received

General No. 4454
No. 498

Enclosure No. 1

Recommending the appointment of 3 last grade Inspectors for prosecuting cases in the Closepet, Chikballapur and Sagar Sub-Divisions and if this is not sanctioned, urging that a general authority may be given to the Police to retain a Pleader for conducting prosecution at least in important cases before the Magistrates.

Govt. 13/6/98

Submitted with the entire correspondence.
(J. file No. 34 of 1896-97).

Govt. 13-6-98.

Subs for orders.

The I. Genl recommends the appointment of an Inspector of the last grade for prosecution solely in the Closepet, Chickballapur & Sagar sub divisions where the cases are on the average 15, 9 & 4 a month respectively. The Dy Comr of Kolar does not want an Insp^r for Chickballapur whereas the other two Dy Comrs require one.

P/14/0

OFFICE OF THE 74

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 4454/498 Dated Bangalore 11th June 1898.

Enc.

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

With reference to your docket No. 10802/G 1197 dated 5th March 1898, I have the honor to forward herewith a statement shewing the number of cases for prosecution in each Sub-Division and the views of the Deputy Commissioners Concerned in the matter of appointing a separate Prosecuting Inspector.

2. I would note here that the Case

J 1534

1

FICE
10915
13-6-98. 1898
From N OF MYSORE

(No) 24

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.

SECTION Pol
FILE No. SE 97
SER'AL No. 6

Dated 11th June
Despatched 1898
Received

General No. 4454
No. 498

Enclosure No. 1

Recommending the appointment of 3 last grade Inspectors for prosecuting cases in the Closepet, Chikballapur and Sagar Sub Divisions and if this is not sanctioned, urging that a general authority may be given to the Police to retain a Pleader for conducting prosecution at least in important cases before the Magistrates

Pol
13/6/98

Submitted with the entire correspondence.
(J. file No. 34 of 1896-97).
Govt. 13-6-98.

Subs for orders.

The I. Genl recommends the appointment of an Inspector of the last grade for prosecution solely in the Closepet, Chickballapur & Sagar sub divisions where the cases are on the average 15, 9 & 4 a month respectively. The Dy Comr of Kolar does not want an Insp. for Chickballapur whereas the other two Dy Cos require one

of French Rocks is different from that of the other Sub-Divisions as there is a Head Quarter Inspector for the French Rocks Sub-Division. The Deputy Commissioners of Bangalore and Shimoga strongly urge the necessity for a separate prosecuting Inspector, while the Deputy Commissioner of Kolar has, I am afraid to say, not dealt with the question thoroughly.

3. It will be too much to expect the Taluk Inspectors or Jemadars at the Head Quarters of the Sub-Division to be able to deal properly with Cases from the other Taluks of the Sub-Division, and they may have besides urgent work of their own to attend to. It is therefore very desirable to have

a separate Police officer for prosecuting Cases before the Sub-Division Magistrates. 96

4. I would accordingly recommend the appointment of Inspectors of the last grade for the Closepet, Chickballapur and Sagar Sub-Divisions.

5. If the Government are not disposed to sanction the appointment of these Inspectors, I would urge that a general authority may be given to the Police to retain a Pleader for Conducting the prosecution at least in important Cases before these Magistrates. In order to prevent the abuse of this privilege, they may be ordered not to exercise it without obtaining the previous sanction of the

Magistrate and the scale of fees which need not be very high may also be laid down.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Inspector General of Police

Statement shewing the number of Cases prosecuted in each of the 4 Sub

No.	Name of the Sub-Division.	Average number of cases for prosecution in a month or a year.	Remarks.
1.	Closepet Sub-Division	15 Cases a month.	The Dy: Commr says that a separate Prosecuting officer is urgently required Vide docket No. 697 of 14-4-98.
2.	Chickballapur Sub-Division	9 Cases a month	Does not require a separate Pro: Officer No: 3218 4-4-98.
3.	French Rocks Sub-Division	4 Cases a month	Do Do No: 435 8-4-98
4.	Sagar Sub-Division	50 Cases on an average in a year or 4 in a month.	Applies for a Prosecuting Officer.

Inspector General of Police.

Submitted -



J/398
12 99

SECTION 46
FILE NO. 59 & 97
SER'AL NO. 71

From

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore, General and Revenue Depts.

Dated
Despatched 29 May 1899
Received
General No. 4384
No. 367
Enclosure No. 2

States that the despatch
General thinks it advisable
& engage a local pleader
on Rs 30/ per month & prosecute
cases before Sub Div Magistrate
ager.

Jwd
31.5.99
The papers on the subject are
pending orders of the Dewan
DRB
1/6/99.

may we get back this
file from D. H. O.
Jwa
1/6.
a No 34 996-97
P. O. 1/6.

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 4384/367 Dated ..... 29th ..... May ..... 1899.

Enc. 2.

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

In continuation of this office letter no: 4454/498 dated 11-6-1898, I have the honor to forward herewith Copy of docket no: 667 dated 18-5-1899 with statement from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga, recommending the appointment of a prosecuting Inspector, and to state that it is necessary to sanction an Officer for prosecuting the cases before the Sub Division Magistrate and Munsiff at Sagar. In all these cases the Taluq Police Inspectors or gamedars are investigating Officers who have to be in court for giving evidence

1

Evidence and instructing the prosecuting officer. If the Inspectors or Jamedars who have not taken part in the investigation have also to appear to prosecute, the Taluq will be left without a superior officer of Police to the detriment of urgent work.

But instead of sanctioning a prosecuting Inspector, I think it advisable to try the experiment of engaging a local pleader on a monthly fee of Rs 30/. The pleader may be retained annually so as to ensure due diligence and care in the prosecution of cases and in order that if the pleader be found incompetent or indifferent in the Conduct of the cases, a new pleader may be engaged.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
K Lowndes
for Inspector General of Police.

Enclosure Nos 1 to 16 / 367 / 5.99

1899

From The Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District

To The Inspector General of Pols in Mysore Bangalore

Dated 18th

Despatched 19th May 1899.

Received

General No. 667.
No.

Enclosure No. One.

His docket no. 3672/192 of the 10th April 1899 calling for a statement shewing the number of cases disposed of by the Sagar Sub Division Magistrate during the last year and cases tried and disposed of in each month in which a prosecuting Inspector would have been engaged.

With reference to the above has the honor to forward herewith a statement as therein called for. It may be

taken that there will be about a hundred cases on the average every year before the Magistrates of the Sub division. It is believed that a prosecuting inspector has been appointed in Chikballapur Sub Division, and it is recommended that a similar appointment may be sanctioned for Sagar.

The Sub Division Magistrate's Kannarese yadi No: 533 of the 13th instant and its enclosures are herewith forwarded in original to be kindly returned after perusal.

(signed) Ahmed Ali
for Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

Copy.

K. Chaudy
for Inspector General of Police.

Statement shewing the number of cases disposed of in Sagar
disposed of in each month in which a Prosecuting Insp
Inspector General's No. 36 72 - 19
Memo No 4381/36/29-5-99.

Name of Court	July 1897/1898		August 1897/1898		September 1897/1898		October 1897/1898		November 1897/1898		Decem 1897/1	
	Filed	Disposed of	Filed	Disposed of	Filed	Disposed of	Filed	Disposed of	Filed	Disposed of	Filed	D
1st Division Magistrate Sagar	13	11	5	4	13	12	12	9	4	11	6	
Munsiff and 2nd class Magistrate Sagar	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	2	5	3	2	
Amildar Magistrate of Sorab.	8	8	2	2	5	5	9	3	1	1	1	
Amildar and 2nd class Magistrate Sagar.	An average of 50 cases in a y											
Amildar Magistrate of Nagar.	7	7	1	1	6	6	4	4	6	6	4	

Shimoga
18-5-1899

1 In 62 cases of the Sagar Magistrate's Court
2 In 11 cases of the Munsiff Magistrate's
8 10 Amildar do of Sorab
4 15 Amildar do of Sagar
5 15 do do of Nagar

Total. 113.

b division during the last year and cases tried and
or would have been engaged as called for in
dated 10-4-1899

January 1897/1898		February 1897/1898		March 1897/1898		April 1897/1898		May 1897/1898		June 1897/1898		Total	
Filed	Disposed of	Filed	Disposed of	Filed	Disposed of	Filed	Disposed of	Filed	Disposed of	Filed	Disposed of		
9	10	4	3	7	6	7	5	7	4	5	12	92	91
3	2	2	3	4	2	-	4	-	-	7	3	27	28
1	1	1	1	7	7	4	4	4	4	10	10	53	53
as shewn in the appended list.												50	50
7	7	7	7	7	7	4	4	2	2	5	5	60	60
												282	277

ecuting Inspector was necessary.
do
do
do
do

(Signed) Ahmed Ali.
for Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

Copy
Director General of Police.

SECTION 701

FILE No. 59697

SERIAL No. 8

Read (45) 34
Correspondence ending with letter no 4384 dy the 29 Aug 1927 from the D.I.G. of Police regarding the appointment of a Prosecuting Inspector or Pleader for prosecuting criminal cases in the Lahar Subdn,

Order thereon No 4926-27/505 dy 6-10-00.

Govt consider that a Prosecuting Insp^r is preferable to a Pleader, and are therefore pleased to sanction the employment of a Pros. Insp^r on Rs 50+15- Conveyance for the remaining period of the year, at the end of which the D.I. should submit his report thereon, nature and results of the cases prosecuted by the Inspector, with his own opinion as to the necessity of otherwise for continuing the appointment.

as the D.I. may
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

2. The person to be appointed will, it is hoped, be one who has duly qualified himself for this branch of work by passing the prescribed Civil Service Examination.

25

Issued in error. Ron Clyne Esq 10/

1. 10. 19.

Secd.

To The Secretary of State

The Comptroller.

36 (15) 37

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore, Judicial, dated 6th October 1900.

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 4384—367, dated the 29th May 1899, from the Inspector General of Police, regarding appointment of a Prosecuting Inspector or Pleader for prosecuting criminal cases in the Sagar sub-division, Shimoga district.

No. 4926-27—J. 505, DATED BANGALORE, 6TH OCTOBER 1900.

ORDER THEREON—Government consider that a Prosecuting Inspector is preferable to a Pleader, as the former may, in addition to his duties as such, be also usefully employed as a Police Officer under the orders of his official superior. They are accordingly pleased to sanction the employment of a Prosecuting Inspector on Rs. 50 + 15, tentatively for the remaining period of the year, at the end of which the District Magistrate will report the number, nature and results of the cases prosecuted by the Inspector, with his own opinion as to the necessity or otherwise for continuing the appointment.

2. The person to be appointed will, it is hoped, be one who has duly qualified himself for this responsible work by passing the prescribed Criminal Tests.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

1900-1901 36

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

JUDICIAL

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 6th October 1900

Sanctioning the appointment of a Prosecuting Inspector on Rs. 50+15 for the Sagar Sub-Division, tentatively till the end of the current official year.